



The Patent Office of the People's Republic of China

Address: No. 6 XITUCHENG ROAD, JIMEN BRIDGE, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING

Post code: 100088

Applicant: LG CHEMICAL CO., LTD	ISSUING DATE:
Agent: Li Song, Jinghuan Jia	August 8, 2003
Application No.: 00812525.2	
Title: PROCESS FOR PREPARING THERMOPLASTIC TRANSPARENT RESIN	

THE SECOND OFFICE ACTION

- ☒ The examiner has received the observation submitted by the applicant on June 30, 2003 in response to the First Office Action from the Chinese Patent Office. On this basis, the examiner continues to conduct a substantive examination on the above-mentioned application.
☐ The examiner continues to conduct a substantive examination on the above-mentioned patent application according to the Reexamination Decision made by the Reexamination Board on Year ____ Month ____ Day ____.
- ☒ The examination has been conducted based on the following documents:
 - ☐ The amendments attached to the above observation.
 - ☒ The application documents referred to in the foregoing office action and the amendments attached to the above observation.
 - ☐ The application documents referred to in the foregoing office action.
 - ☐ The application documents determined in the above Reexamination Decision
- ☐ No new reference document(s) is/are cited in the action.
☒ The following document(s) is/are cited as references in the action wherein the reference numeral(s) thereof will be used in the examination procedure thereafter.

No.	Reference No. or Title	Publishing Date
1	CN 1149592	1997 Year 05 month 14 day
2	WO 0026298	2000 Year 05 month 11 day
3		Year month day
4		Year month day

- ☐ Concluding comments on the description:
 - ☐ The amendments do not meet the requirement of Article 33 of the Patent Law.
 - ☐ The contents of the application belong(s) to non-patentable subject matter as prescribed by Article 25 of the Patent Law.
 - ☐ The specification is not in conformity with the provision of Article 26, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law.
 - ☐ The writing of the specification is not in conformity with the provision of Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations.

☒ Concluding comments on the claims:

- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not meet the requirement of Article 33 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ belong(s) to non-patentable subject matter as prescribed by Article 25 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with the definition of invention as prescribed by Rule 2 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1 do(es) not possess novelty as requested by Article 22 paragraph 2 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not possess inventiveness as requested by Article 22 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not possess applicability as requested by Article 22 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with the provision of Article 26 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with the provision of Article 31 paragraph 1 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with provision of Rules 20 to 23 of the Implementing Regulations.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with provision of Article 9 of the Patent Law.
- ☐ Claim(s)_____ do(es) not comply with provision of Rules 12, paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations.

The detailed analysis for the above concluding comments is presented on the text of this Office Action.

5. Based on the above concluding comments, the examiner is of the opinion that

- ☐ The applicant should amend the application document(s) in accordance with the requirement as specified in the Office Action.
- ☒ The applicant should, in his observation, expound the patentability of the application, amend the defects pointed out in the Office Action; or the application can hardly be approved.
- ☐ The examiner deems that the application lacks substantive features to make it patentable. Therefore, the application will be rejected if no convincing reasons are provided to prove its patentability.

7. The applicant should pay attention to the following matters:

- (1) According to Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant is required to submit his observations within Two months upon receipt of this Office Action. If the time limit for making response is not met without any justified reason, the application will be deemed to have been withdrawn.
- (2) The amendment(s) made by the applicant must meet the requirements of Article 33 of the Patent Law. The amended text should be in duplicate, its format should conform to the related confinement in the Guideline for Patent Examination.
- (3) The applicant and/or the agent should not go to the Chinese Patent Office to interview the examiner without being invited.
- (4) The observation and/of the amended document(s) must be mailed or delivered to the Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office. No legal effect shall apply for any document(s) that are not mailed to or reached the Receiving Section.

8. The text of this Office Action contains 1 page(s), and has the following attachment(s):

☒ 2 copies of the cited references, all together 48 pages.

☐